
The State of SIDL: Quarterly Status Report

**Scott Kohn
with
Tammy Dahlgren, Tom Epperly, and Gary Kumfert**

***Center for Applied Scientific Computing
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory***



October 2, 2001



Overview

Babel status report for v0.6 (release mid-October)

Where to send feedback and comments

Adding component semantics descriptions to SIDL

Build and component deployment issues

CCA-compliant framework using SIDL (Gary)

Integration path discussion (all)

Babel release update (v0.6)

Early release motivated by example CCA framework

New capabilities

- Java client finished (except for arrays of objects)
- Python server finished (Python client already completed)
- minor changes in C casting support by user request
- simplified command-line arguments for Babel driver
- numerous bug fixes for memory leaks
- multi-language exceptions (e.g., throw in C++, catch in Java)
- expanded support for component dynamic loading
- 3200 test cases in nightly regression test suite
- regression tests pass on Linux, Solaris, Cygwin (Windows)...
- CCA framework (to be discussed later by Gary)

Planned capabilities (v0.7)

Release target date – just before next CCA meeting

Some planned capabilities (beyond v0.6)

- improved portability (please help us prioritize platforms)
- finish Java server-side support
- more Python test cases
- expand documentation in “Babel Users’ Guide”
- generate methods in the order of SIDL declaration (not sorted)
- improved parser error messages (maybe haiku or Klingon, too)
- (your suggestion here...)

We want your comments!

Seriously, we want feedback and suggestions...

- need community buy-in to be successful
- want language bindings to be as natural as possible
- topics: array mappings, C++ language bindings, ...

Please be patient – we can't always do what you want

- certain multi-language issues or IDL technology constraints
- but we'll work with you to try to come up with a good solution

Contact information

- project web site: <http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/components>
- bug web site: <http://www-casc.llnl.gov/bugs>
- project mail alias: components@llnl.gov
- mail lists: babel-announce@llnl.gov and babel-users@llnl.gov

Tammy is investigating component semantics in SIDL for her Ph.D. research

Why component semantics?

- describe component interface constraints
- frameworks can check constraints at connection time
- automatically generate run-time checking code via SIDL/Babel

Possible semantics approaches (from literature)

- argument constraints (pre- and post-conditions)
- method invocation sequencing using object state diagrams
- component properties (e.g., machine dependencies)

Vector example: State with pre- and post-conditions

```
interface vector {  
    state {  
        uninitialized, initialized  
    };  
    void setData(in double data)  
        postcondition {  
            initialized;  
        };  
    double dot(in vector v)  
        precondition {  
            v != null; v.size == self.size;  
            initialized;  
        };  
}
```

Vector can be in one
of these two states

Initializes vector
and transitions to
initialized state

Check if vector
argument is not null
and proper size

Matrix example: Using state constraints

```
interface Matrix {  
    state {  
        uninitialized, initialized, assembled  
    };
```

Matrix states

```
void setData(in Matrix data)  
    precondition { data != null }  
    postcondition { initialized; };
```

Initialize matrix
data and transition
to initialized state

```
void assemble()  
    precondition { initialized; }  
    postcondition { assembled; };  
}
```

Assemble the matrix
and transition from
initialized to assembled

Tammy wants feedback concerning semantics for scientific components!

When using your components...

- what errors do others make?
- where is most of their time spent?

What features are important for determining (scientific) component compatibility?

What kind of specification-related compatibility information do you think should be added to SIDL?

Please direct suggestions to:

Tammy Dahlgren, dahlgren1@llnl.gov, 925-423-2685

Build and deployment issues

Heads-up: this is going to be important for CCA

- building portable shared libraries is difficult for C++
- can be difficult due to compiler idiosyncrasies (e.g., ALPS)
- need common deployment method for components (e.g., jar)

Build/deployment almost as important as interfaces

- if you can't build and link to it, it's useless
- must be easy for non-experts to build portable re-useable software

We will need to address these issues in the future

And now, Gary: Babel/SIDL CCA framework

Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48